



*** MINUTES ***

DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS AND FACILITY PLANNING COMMITTEE

January 14, 2016

4:00 P.M. – 5:30 P.M.

ROOSEVELT ELEMENTARY IMC

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Mike Falch	Mark Goings	Joe Luginbill	Stephanie Regenauer
David FitzGerald	Wendy Sue Johnson	Ben Nemitz	Charles Vue

RESOURCE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Abby Johnson	Tim Leibham	Larry Sommerfeld
Mary Ann Hardebeck	Jim Schmitt	

INVITED PRINCIPALS:

Adam Keeton, Flynn	Ben Dallman, Roosevelt	Laura Schlichting, Locust Lane
Colleen Miner, Lakeshore	Mandy VanVleet, Sherman	

GUESTS PRESENT:

Liz Dohms, Reporter from the Leader-Telegram

- .I. **Meeting Called to Order** – Mike Falch
Meeting was called to order at 4:09 pm.

- .II. **Approval of Minutes of December 10, 2015**
Joe Luginbill moved, seconded by Ben Nemitz to approve the December 10, 2015 minutes with clarification on which schools were to be discussed on the issue of overcrowding, as presented by Wendy Sue Johnson. Carried by unanimous voice of acclamation.

- .III. **Introduction of Principals**
Introductions were made around the room and invited principals from Flynn, Lakeshore, Roosevelt, Sherman and Locust Lane were introduced. A special thank you went out to Ben for hosting.

- .IV. **Address Overcrowding**
Abby gave a background for the reasons of the meeting and why the principals were asked to attend. Those principals present represent a school that is either at, or over student capacity, or have a school that is large enough to take on extra students. It was mentioned that Meadowview is close to its capacity also and should be looked at.

Building capacity comparisons are hard to predict beyond the current information based on the 3rd Friday counts that come out in September. The numbers could fluctuate weekly with the movement of students. Trying to predict out 2 years or even 5 years, is predicting children who are not even born yet.

We can look at the established neighborhoods and get a good idea of the stability of the numbers, yet building of a new neighborhood can greatly increase those numbers.

.V. Pros & Cons – Principals Weigh In

Each principal gave a brief description of their school.

ROOSEVELT.....Attendance up 17% in past 3 years
Ben Dallman, Principal One 12' x 18' room is used as a classroom
 No room to expand
 Smallest gym & cafeteria of all schools
 Mobile staff
 Lack of storage space that does not meet fire codes

LAKESHORE.....Has larger classrooms
Colleen Miner, Principal Utilize team teaching
 Computer lab turned into a classroom
 Computer lab now in IMC
 Use creativity with available space
 No conference rooms
 Need space for resource area for Special Ed Students
 No SAGE in grade 3

FLYNN.....Larger hallways
Adam Keeton, Principal Built for 3-section school
 Atmosphere gives a feeling of peace
 Every space is being used
 No team teaching
 The #'s are ok and stable now
 Could not accommodate a bubble class
 Classrooms are 17% larger than average size

LOCUST LANE.....Currently have (2) empty classrooms
Laura Schlichting Smooth transitions with passing in the hallway
 Nice gym

SHERMAN.....Recently updated elementary school
Mandy VanVleet SAGE Kindergarten, Grade 1, and Grade 3
 Title I and Cluster Site
 Has sensory rooms
 Special Ed classrooms
 All staff have their own offices

Robbins was also built to be a 4-5 section school and has plenty of space for students.

.VI. **Options for Other Schools at or Near Capacity in the Next Few Years**

A list of options were created and will be discussed in further depth with the group as to the pros and cons of each at the next meeting.

- Mobile trailers
- Building expansions
- Build a new school
- Adjust boundaries to maximize use of space
- Flexible boundaries (may be sent to 2 schools)
- Close school to new students after year starts and have an overflow school
- Choice to attend a different school (\$ incentive, promote SAGE)
- Open enrollment/Intra-district transfers
- Little Red
- Move 5th grade to middle schools
- Program choices within clusters of schools

.VIII. **Agenda for Next Meeting**

Create and review Pro/Con List of Options

Timeline and Steps of New School Building – Larry S.

Timeline for Recommendation to the School Board

Next Meeting Date

Thursday, February 18, 2016

4:00 p.m. – 5:30 p.m.

Location: 123 C

.IX. **Adjourn**

The meeting adjourned at 5:33 p.m.