

Meeting: Demographic Trends and Facility Planning Committee

Date: October 18, 2018

Time: 4:30 pm

Location: Administration Building, Room 123C

Attendees:

<input type="checkbox"/> Alicia Arnold	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Nic Ashman	<input type="checkbox"/> Caro Johnson	<input type="checkbox"/> Josh Clements	<input type="checkbox"/> David Fitzgerald
<input type="checkbox"/> Marquita Davis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mark Goings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anne Hartman	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Phil Lyons	<input type="checkbox"/> Heather Nicolet
<input type="checkbox"/> Janet Seymour	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tim Nordin	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ryan Weichelt	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amanda Liwei	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kim Koller	<input type="checkbox"/> Abby Johnson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Larry Sommerfeld	<input type="checkbox"/> Heidi White	<input type="checkbox"/> Jim Schmitt
<input type="checkbox"/> Dr. Hardebeck	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marisa Anton			

Note Taker: Karen Larson

Guests: Ben Holmen (Roosevelt parent), Ben Dallman (Roosevelt principal)

Meeting called to order by Phil Lyons at 4:35 pm.

1. Public Comment

- No comments.

2. Approval of Minutes from 6/21/2018

- Tim Nordin made a motion to accept the minutes with the correction noted below. Seconded by Mark Goings. Motion unanimously passed by the committee.

ACTION ITEM(S)

- Change Tim Nordin's motion on the first page to read "not having a regular Board member".

3. Summary of Report to Board – Phil Lyons/Kim Koller

- Move forward with recommendation or change in charge. Redraw North elementary boundaries, with Roosevelt being repurposed to a 4K facility.
- Kim is in the process of creating an FAQ from the notes that she took during the Board meeting and other questions she has received.
- Question about why the renovation to Roosevelt was less expensive. Larry Sommerfeld said that the scope of work is much different for renovations vs. buildouts.

ACTION ITEM(S)

- N/A

4. Partnering with City for Projected Developments – Phil Lyons

- The Board recommended that this committee have additional conversation with the City of Eau Claire, County of Eau Claire, and surrounding townships about what impact projected developments around the area will have on the capacity at existing schools.

ACTION ITEM(S)

- Phil will make some contacts and report back to this committee during the next meeting.

5. Development of Plan for Listening Sessions – Phil Lyons

- Phil felt it would be beneficial to address the costing of the work on Roosevelt during the listening sessions.
- The listening sessions should also address boundaries. Marisa cautioned that the boundaries that were used for the study were from 2017-2018. She recommended alerting families to the fact that the boundaries may move again as it gets closer to the time of change. Phil suggested reviewing the policy on boundaries to understand what the frequency is for reviewing boundaries.
- Review what a 4K facility looks like.
- Review what it means for another subdivision being developed on the South side.
- Make the focus of the listening sessions about the utilization of all elementary school facilities, and that we are looking for a long-term solution for the district as a whole.
- Nic said many public comments can be found on the social media site “You Know You’re from Eau Claire”.
- The assumption is that the changes would impact only elementary school boundaries at this time. Marisa suggested having different levels of boundary review for each level of school.
- The decision from the Board will determine who the listening sessions will be focused on.
- Ryan Weichelt moved to recommend that at least one Board member be in attendance at these meetings on a regular basis to address questions from and give guidance to this committee. Tim Nordin seconded the motion. Motion unanimously passed by the committee.

ACTION ITEM(S)

- Kim Koller will take the motion from Ryan Weichelt to the Board.
- Kim will also ask the Board for further direction on the listening session.

6. Other

- There was a suggestion to have regular meetings with the City and County so we can understand where residential developments are being created (shared services). Invite reps to next meeting?
- What would be needed for a recommendation for the South side?
 - Which schools would be involved?
 - How much land is available?
 - Would additional property need to be purchased?
 - What are projections for APL?
 - Should we recommend to the Board to have an architectural study done?
 - Have Board look at which recommendations would fit within the scope of the recommendation

ACTION ITEM(S)

- N/A

7. Future Agenda Items

- Revisit boundaries (relief vs. absorption)

Meeting adjourned at 5:55 pm.